

File With

## SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal No

ABP— 314485-22

Defer Re O/H

☐

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/received 14/12/2023  
from Tatjana and Markus Imhof I recommend that section 131 of the Planning  
and Development Act, 2000 be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):

no new material issues

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.

☒

Section 131 to be invoked — allow 2/4 weeks for reply.

☐

Signed

Pat B

EO

Date

21/12/2023

Signed

SEO/SAO

Date

M

Please prepare BP — Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission.

To

Task No

Allow 2/3/4 weeks

BP

Signed

EO

Date

Signed

AA

Date



## Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference  
NPA-OBS-002967

### Online Observation Details

Contact Name  
Tatjana Imhof

Lodgement Date  
14/12/2023 12:21:51

Case Number / Description  
314485

### Payment Details

Payment Method  
Online Payment

Cardholder Name  
Tatjana Stolzenberg

Payment Amount  
€50.00

### Processing Section

S.131 Consideration Required

☒ Yes — See attached 131 Form

☐ N/A — Invalid

Signed

*Pat Burke*

EO

Date

14/12/2023

### Fee Refund Requisition

Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of

€

Lodgement No

LDG— 068840-23

Reason for Refund

Documents Returned to Observer

☐ Yes ☐ No

Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval

☐ Yes ☐ No

Signed

EO

Date

### Finance Section

Payment Reference

ch\_3ONDvHB1CW0EN5FC1sWv1VMz

Checked Against Fee Income Online

EO/AA (Accounts Section)

Amount

€

Refund Date

Authorised By (1)

SEO (Finance)

Authorised By (2)

Chief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board Member

Date

Date

Case details:

<https://www.pleanala.ie/en-ie/case/314485>

Dear An Board team,

My name is Tatjana Imhof (living in 12 The Meadow, Robswall in Malahide) and I would like to provide some concern around the planning that is currently requested for the airport expansion of hours and paths given the additional inconvenience we have felt through airplane noise flying directly over our home in 2023 without this being an official flight path. It is disrespectful to residents in Robswall to be burdened by additional noise levels without proper approval for such and my husband and I are concerned that this situation will only get worse with the expansion of flight times, pathways and amount of air traffic. Again, I want to stress that our experience of 2023 has impacted enjoyment of our balcony space (often unable to continue a conversation with flights directly coming from Donabate area and directly flying over Robswall to continue towards Portmarnock and airport. This will impact value of houses as there was no funding for noise proof windows etc and obviously people (including ourselves) made the decision to buy here based on the planning permission of the past.

Having read through the DAA newly submitted documents, it is clear in the submission from DAA, that they have used the current flight paths for their "permitted" drawings instead of the permitted noise zones from the original 2007 planning permission. They seem to be hoping that ABP grants this on the basis of the relatively small difference between before and after with respect to night flights. If that occurs, ABP would effectively be accidentally granting retention to the current flight paths which are currently illegal and causing continued untold distress for tens of thousands of people. This means that flight paths are now a very important element of this relevant action submission and must be considered within it.

An oral hearing is absolutely necessary given the gravity of the situation and instead of expanding the flight paths to request DAA to stick to their approval to not negatively impact residents of Robswall in Malahide.

Warm regards,

Tatjana & Markus Imhof

12 The Meadow Malahide

Phone Tatjana 0838103025 or Markus 0879466945